

Rezone land at 32 Charles Street, Blayney

	naries Street, Diayney				
Proposal Title :	Rezone land at 32 Charle	es Street	, Blayney		
Proposal Summary :	Proposal to rezone land at 32 Charles Street, Blayney from R1 General Residential to B2 Local Centre.				
PP Number :	PP_2013_BLAYN_001_00)	Dop File No :	13/01100	
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	21-Dec-2012		LGA covered :	Blayney	
Region :	Western		RPA :	Blayney Shire Council	
State Electorate :	BATHURST BURRINJUCK		Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Details					
Street : Ch	arles Street				
Suburb : Bla	ayney C	ity :	Blayney	Postcode : 2799	
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Anna Cumberland				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	anna.cumberland@planni	ng.nsw.g	gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Patsy Moppett				
Contact Number :	0263689618				
Contact Email :	patsy.moppett@blayney.n	sw.gov.a	ıu		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Anna Cumberland				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	anna.cumberland@planni	ng.nsw.	gov.au		
Land Release Data	а				
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A		Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

Rezone land at 32 Charles Street, Blayney

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	0.07	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land
No. of Lots :	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	the Department should com	nat this is a minor amendment, it mence drafting the instrument in this LEP. This will ensure the ti	mmediately rather than issue
	delegations for this LEP, and with PC to finalise the LEP. 1	r dated 20/12/2012 has confirme d has specifically requested that The Regional Planning Team wil vill advise Council on the progre	l remain Council's principal
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective is to permit	commercial uses on the subjec	et site.
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :		needs to be amended to amend idential to B2 Local Centre.	the zone applicable to the subject
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Direct	tor General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones			
* May need the Director	r General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones	
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?		
c) Consistent with Stand	dard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2	2006 :	
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?		

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

The Planning Proposal is consistent with section 117 direction 1.1 Business and Industrial zones because it will create an additional 670sqm of land to be used for local business purposes.

The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with section 117 direction 3.1 Residential zones as it will reduce the amount of residential land available in the Blayney township by 670sqm. It is considered that the inconsistency is of minor significance because a sufficient supply of residential land is currently available in Blayney township - in excess of 17 years. In addition, there is unlikely to be demand to use the site for residential purposes given its historical use for business purposes, and the adjoining business zone.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment :

The proposal to change the zone of the subject site from R1 to B2 was adopted by Council as part of their section 68 report for the principal Blayney LEP 2012. It was an inadvertant error that the zoning map was not changed to reflect this proposal in the Blayney LEP 2012.

Council discussed this proposal in detail and provided satisfactory reasons for the change in its section 68 report. The section 68 report has been publicly available to the Blayney Shire community since it was adopted by Council on 15 June 2012. There are no known objections from the Blayney Shire community. The proposal will ensure that the zoning of the site is consistent with the adjoining site which is currently being used for business purposes. It is considered that the Planning Proposal is minor, and does not require community consultation.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in relation The principal LEP was made on 23 November 2012. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria	I			
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required to fix a minor inadvertant error in the mapping which was notified as part of the Blayney LEP 2012.			
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The final Blayney Settlement Strategy endorsed by the Director General on 9/11/2012 does not include a recommendation for a future land use on the subject site. It acknowledges that the site is vacant.			
	However, it is considered that as the site has previously beer business zone. This has estab site is located on the boundary the surrounding residential are	n used for commercial purpos lished a commercial characte y of the urban area of Blayney	ses, and adjoins an existing r and amenity in the area. The	
	In addition, Council has provid Blayney LEP 2012 to support t follows:			
	The subject site has been used for approved business purposes since 1985. Developmer approval was issued for an auto-electrician business in 1985 and extensions in 1987.			
	There are several businesses i including the adjoining site. Th different tenants use the premi business use that can be prote	nere are issues with maintain ises for various uses and the	ing existing use rights when	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The site is within the urban are existing vegetation on the site		reloped land. There is no	
	The Proposal will create a positive social impact through the provision of new employment lands which may result in the creation of new jobs in the LGA.			
Assessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	Nil	
Timeframe to make LEP :	3 Month	Delegation :	DG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No			

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No
If Yes, reasons :
Identify any additional studies, if required.

Yes

Rezone land at 32 Char	les Street, Blayney
If Other, provide reasons	
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required :
No internal consultation	ı required
Is the provision and fundi	ing of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Documents	
Document File Name	DocumentType Name Is Public
Cover letter and PP.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter No
Planning Team Recomn	nendation
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones
Additional Information :	The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is not required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act"),
	2. Consultation is not required with any public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act,
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 3 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.
Supporting Reasons :	Ν/Α
Circoture	
Signature:	a.w. all
Printed Name:	Ashley Alburg Date: <u>8/1/2013</u>